	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/629,033	MAYO ET AL.	
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	ERIC C. WAI	2195	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

  (1) _ERIC C. WAI_.   (3) _____.

  (2) _Alan D. Christenson (Reg No. 54,036)_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _21 May 2010_ **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
_10_

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

        (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner advised Applicant to amend claim 10 to include missing limitations that appear in claim 1 (i.e. changing the power state of each storage access subsystem based on the power management rank). Applicant agreed to all amendments to be filed via Examiner's Amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.